

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/923,200		08/06/2001	John E. McCall	00163.1560US01	8891	
23552	7590	03/05/2004		EXAMINER		
MERCHA	NT & C	GOULD PC	DIXON, THOMAS A			
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .	
				3629		
				DATE MAILED: 03/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	Applicant(s)				
		09/923,200	MCCALL, JOHN E.				
L	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thomas A. Dixon	3629 My/				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De	ecember 2003.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,8,10-16,23-29 and 32-51 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-7,9,17,18,21,22,31 and 60 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3, /, 10-16, 19, 20, 23-29, 32, 33 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 34-51 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u> . <u>10</u> .		ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/923,200

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

1. The request filed on 12-19-03 for Continued Examination (RCE) based on parent Application No. 09/923,200 is acceptable and an RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

2. The IDS submitted 12/19/03 has been considered.

Election/Restrictions

3. Newly submitted claims 34-51 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

They are more appropriate to class 222/52 as seen in Gardner Jr (2002/0096537) which reads on the new claims.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 34-51 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically it is unclear which claims they depend from.

Application/Control Number: 09/923,200

Art Unit: 3629

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 8, 16, 19, 20, 23, 25, 27, 28, 29, 32 are rejected under 35
- U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McGuire et al (4,404,639).

As per Claim 1, 16, 23, 27.

McGuire et al ('639) discloses receiving collected data related to the service being provided by one or more field service providers, see column 4, line 58 – column 8, line 5;

determining whether the collected data indicates a time-critical situation, see column 12, lines 17-34;

if the collected data indicates a time-critical situation, mapping the data conclusion to time-critical advisory information, see column 12, lines 39-55; and

responsive to the operation of mapping the data conclusion to time-critical advisory information, establishing a communication session with the management system, wherein the time-critical advisory information is presented to the management system through the network device during the established communication system, see column 8, lines 6-26.

As per Claim 2, 28.

McGuire et al ('639) discloses if the collected data is associated with a non time-critical situation, mapping the data conclusion to non time-critical advisory information, see column 12, lines 17–34;

storing the non-critical advisory information in a storage module, see column 8, lines 6-26.

As per Claim 3, 20, 29.

Application/Control Number: 09/923,200

Art Unit: 3629

McGuire et al ('639) discloses producing a copy of the time-critical advisory information, see column 8, lines 6-26; and

storing a copy of the time-critical advisory information in the storage module, see column 8, lines 6-26.

As per Claim 8, 19, 25, 32.

McGuire et al ('639) discloses receiving an instruction from the management system requesting that the time-critical advisory information be provided to a field service provider, see column 8, lines 6-26;

presenting the time-critical advisory information directly to the field service provider, see column 8, lines 6-26.

6. Claims 1-3, 8, 10-16, 19-20, 23-29, 22-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Moore (6,370,454).

As per Claim 1, 16, 23, 27.

Moore ('454) discloses receiving collected data related to the service being provided by one or more field service providers, see column 2, lines 3-7;

determining whether the collected data indicates a time-critical situation, see column 7, lines 43-45;

if the collected data indicates a time-critical situation, mapping the data conclusion to time-critical advisory information, see figure 5 (odometer, scheduled maintenance due); and

responsive to the operation of mapping the data conclusion to time-critical advisory information, establishing a communication session with the management system, wherein the time-critical advisory information is presented to the management system through the network device during the established communication system, see column 9, lines 2-15.

As per Claim 2, 28.

Moore ('454) discloses if the collected data is associated with a non time-critical situation, mapping the data conclusion to non time-critical advisory information, see figure 4 (within specifications);

storing the non-critical advisory information in a storage module, see column 5, line 65 – column 6, line 1.

As per Claim 3, 20, 29.

Moore ('454) discloses producing a copy of the time-critical advisory information, see column 7, lines 17-19 and column 9, lines 2-6; and

storing a copy of the time-critical advisory information in the storage module, see column 7, lines 17-19 and column 9, lines 2-6.

As per Claim 8, 19, 25, 32.

Application/Control Number: 09/923,200

Art Unit: 3629

Moore ('454) discloses receiving an instruction from the management system requesting that the time-critical advisory information be provided to a field service provider, see column 1, lines 44-58 and column 9, lines 2-6;

presenting the time-critical advisory information directly to the field service provider, see column 1, lines 44-58 and column 8, lines 21-52.

As per Claim 10, 33.

Moore ('454) discloses analyzing the collected data against an escalation rule to determine whether the collected data satisfies time-critical criterion, see column 7, lines 43-45.

As per Claim 11.

Moore ('454) discloses transmitting time-critical advisory information to a network device used by the management system in interacting with the advisory module via the network computer, see column 9, lines 2-5.

As per Claim 12.

Moore ('454) discloses the network device is a computing module and the advisory information is in the form of a script, see column 8, lines 21-62 and table 1.

As per Claim 13, 24.

Moore ('454) discloses the script is in the form of a textual script, see table 1.

As per Claim 14.

Moore ('454) discloses the management module is a computing system communicating with the advisory module via a computer-based language, see column 9, lines 2-21.

As per Claim 26.

Moore ('454) further discloses selecting one or more appropriate field service providers to receive the time-critical advisory information and wherein the operation of presenting the time-critical advisory information comprises presenting the time-critical advisory information to each of the one or more field service providers, see figure 5 (fixit), figure 6 (select my garage), column 1, lines 44-48 and column 9, lines 2-51.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/923,200 Page 6

Art Unit: 3629

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore ('454) in view of Chou et al (6,330,499).

As per Claim 15.

Moore ('454) discloses a variety of forms of communications, see column 9, lines12-21, but does not specifically disclose the management system is a person receiving natural language scripts from the advisory module.

Chou et al ('499) teaches a remote service center with a help desk, see column 2, lines 30-52 for the benefit of scheduling services or dispatching assistance.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to include natural language communication with a person as taught by Chou et al ('499) for the benefit of scheduling services or dispatching assistance.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A. Dixon whose telephone number is (703) 305-4645. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 6:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas A. Dixon

Examiner

Art Unit 3629

February 24, 2004